

Computing matrix permanent with collective boson operators

Joonsuk Huh^{1,*}

¹Mueunjae Institute for Chemistry (MIC), Department of Chemistry, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang 790-784, Korea

*huhsh@postech.ac.kr

ABSTRACT

Computing permanents of matrices are known to be a classically hard problem that the computational cost grows exponentially with the size of the matrix increases. So far, there exist a few classical algorithms to compute the matrix permanents in deterministic and in randomized ways. By exploiting the series expansion of products of boson operators regarding collective boson operators, a generalized algorithm for computing permanents is developed that the algorithm can handle the arbitrary matrices with repeated columns and rows. In a particular case, the formula is reduced to Glynn's form. Not only the algorithm can be used for a deterministic direct calculation of the matrix permanent but also can be expressed as a sampling problem like Gurvits's randomized algorithm.

Introduction

Computing matrix permanent recently has become an issue that this classically hard computational problem is believed to be accessible by quantum devices such as linear optical network¹, superconducting circuits² and trapped-ion³. The corresponding computational complexity is classified as #P-hard⁴ and the quantum device would be able to sample a photon distribution of a given unitary photon network U , which is a function of permanents of submatrices out of U . This is so-called boson sampling¹. So far, there exist only a few classical algorithms known for the matrix permanents, especially for arbitrary matrices with repeated rows and columns.

I recall here the permanent of a M -dimensional square matrix A ($\text{Per}(A)$) with entries A_{ij} . $\text{Per}(A)$ is defined as

$$\text{Per}(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S} \prod_{i=1}^M A_{i, \sigma_i}, \quad (1)$$

where the set S includes all permutations of $(1, 2, \dots, M)$, $\{\sigma\}$. Bold fonts are used, in this paper, for M -dimensional vectors. The brute force computation of a matrix permanent in Eq. 1 requires $M!$ terms in summation and each term is composed of products of M elements of the matrix. There are some smart strategies for evaluating the matrix permanents, for example, Ryser's algorithm⁵ can perform the calculation in $O(2^M M^2)$ arithmetic operations and can be optimized further with Gray code resulting in $O(2^M M)$ arithmetic operations. Glynn^{6,7} derived a different form sharing a similar computational cost as Ryser's. Though, Jerrum et al. suggested a polynomial-time approximation algorithm for the permanents of matrices with non-negative elements⁸, more efficient algorithms for arbitrary matrices than Ryser's and Glynn's have not been developed yet. There have been some efforts in developing randomized algorithms for the permanents. Gurvits used the Glynn's formula to design a randomized algorithm⁹, and Aaronson and Hance¹⁰ generalized Gurvits's sampling algorithm for matrices with either of repeated columns or repeated rows.

Herein, I develop a generalized algorithm for matrices both with repeated rows and repeated columns and both in deterministic and in randomized ways by exploiting a series expansion of a product of variables regarding the collective variables¹¹, i.e. linear combinations of variables (see Methods). Kan¹¹ used the series expansion to develop an algorithm for multivariate normal moments that the number of terms to be evaluated is drastically reduced. My algorithm is a generalized version of Glynn's that it can be reduced to Glynn's original form^{6,7} in a particular case. In addition to the series expansion, I use the boson operator algebra in computing the matrix elements of the generalized rotation operator and equate them to the matrix permanents¹.

Results

In the linear optical network characterized with the unitary matrix U , the transition amplitude between the two Fock states, $|n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M\rangle = |\mathbf{n}\rangle$ and $|m_1, m_2, \dots, m_M\rangle = |\mathbf{m}\rangle$, is expressed as the matrix permanent, i.e.

$$\langle \mathbf{m} | \hat{R}(\ln U^t) | \mathbf{n} \rangle = \left(\prod_{k=1}^M \sqrt{n_k! m_k!} \right)^{-1} (\text{Per}([U]_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}})), \quad (2)$$

where $[U]_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}}$ is a $N \times N$ submatrix of U constructed by repeating the k -th column of U m_k times and copying the l -th row of the column of the resulting matrix n_l times¹. N is the total number of photons that $N = \sum_k^M n_k = \sum_k^M m_k$ and M is the number of optical modes. The generalized rotation operator $\hat{R}$ is defined with the $M \times M$ arbitrary square matrix A together with the boson annihilation ($\hat{\mathbf{a}} = (\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_M)^t$) and creation ($\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger = (\hat{a}_1^\dagger, \dots, \hat{a}_M^\dagger)^t$) operators, where $[\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j^\dagger] = \delta_{i,j}$. The action of the rotation operator ($\hat{R}(\Phi) = \exp((\hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger)^t \Phi \hat{\mathbf{a}})$ and $\hat{R}(\Phi)\hat{R}(-\Phi) = 1$) on the creation operator $\hat{a}_k^\dagger$ reads as follow¹²,

$$\hat{R}(\ln A^t) \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{R}(-\ln A^t) = \sum_{l=1}^M A_{kl} \hat{a}_l^\dagger. \quad (3)$$

In accordance with the linear optical network, the matrix elements of the generalized rotation operator $\hat{R}(\ln A^t)$ is related to the matrix permanent of the arbitrary square complex matrix A as well such that

$$\text{Per}([A]_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}}) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^M \sqrt{n_k! m_k!} \right) \langle \mathbf{m} | \hat{R}(\ln A^t) | \mathbf{n} \rangle. \quad (4)$$

To derive the generalized computational algorithm for the matrix permanent of an arbitrary matrix allowing repeated rows and columns, which can reduce the complexity of the problem, I expand the Fock state $|\mathbf{n}\rangle = \bigotimes_{k=1}^M (\hat{a}_k^\dagger)^{n_k} / \sqrt{n_k!} |0\rangle$ in terms of collective boson creation operators with the help of a series expansion introduced by Kan¹¹ (see also Methods), i.e.

$$|\mathbf{n}\rangle = \frac{1}{(\prod_{k=1}^M \sqrt{n_k!}) N! 2^N} \sum_{v_1=0}^{n_1} \dots \sum_{v_M=0}^{n_M} (-1)^{N_v} \binom{n_1}{v_1} \dots \binom{n_M}{v_M} ((\mathbf{n} - 2\mathbf{v})^t \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger)^N |0\rangle, \quad (5)$$

where $N_v = \sum_{k=1}^M v_k$. The Fock state is expanded with the collective creation operator $(\mathbf{n} - 2\mathbf{v})^t \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger$. After applying the generalized rotation operator $\hat{R}(\ln A^t)$ to Eq. 5 and projecting the final Fock state $|\mathbf{m}\rangle$ out, as given in Eq. 4, the matrix permanent is expressed in the following series form,

$$\text{Per}([A]_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}}) = \frac{1}{N! 2^N} \sum_{v_1=0}^{n_1} \dots \sum_{v_M=0}^{n_M} (-1)^{N_v} \binom{n_1}{v_1} \dots \binom{n_M}{v_M} \langle 0 | \hat{a}_1^{m_1} \dots \hat{a}_M^{m_M} ((\mathbf{n} - 2\mathbf{v})^t A \hat{\mathbf{a}}^\dagger)^N | 0 \rangle. \quad (6)$$

The matrix elements in Eq. 6 is further simplified with $\langle 0 | \hat{a}_1^{s_1} \dots \hat{a}_M^{s_M} (\hat{a}_1^\dagger)^{t_1} \dots (\hat{a}_M^\dagger)^{t_M} | 0 \rangle = (\prod_{k=1}^M s_k!) \delta_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}$,

$$\boxed{\text{Per}([A]_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}}) = \frac{1}{2^N} \sum_{v_1=0}^{n_1} \dots \sum_{v_M=0}^{n_M} (-1)^{N_v} \binom{n_1}{v_1} \dots \binom{n_M}{v_M} \prod_{k=1}^M [(\mathbf{n} - 2\mathbf{v})^t A]_k^{m_k}}, \quad (7)$$

where $[\cdot]_k$ is the k -th element of the vector. This is my key finding in this paper. The expression can be tested with the MATLAB¹³ code provided in Methods. By exploiting the symmetry of the expression¹¹, the number of terms can be reduced to almost the half of Eq. 7. When at least one of $\{n_k\}$ is an odd number, without losing generality I choose n_1 is an odd number that Eq. 7 is simplified as,

$$\text{Per}([A]_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}}) = \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} \sum_{v_1=0}^{(n_1-1)/2} \dots \sum_{v_M=0}^{n_M} (-1)^{N_v} \binom{n_1}{v_1} \dots \binom{n_M}{v_M} \prod_{k=1}^M [(\mathbf{n} - 2\mathbf{v})^t A]_k^{m_k}. \quad (8)$$

Even if all $\{n_k\}$ are even numbers, one still can reduce the number of terms in the summation by separating the first summation for v_1 runs from 0 to $n_1 - 1$ and $v_1 = n_1$, which makes $n_1 - 1$ odd number that one can use the same trick as in Eq. 8. Eq. 8 requires $\frac{1}{2} \prod_k (n_k + 1)$ and the later case requires $(\prod_k (n_k + 1) - 1)/2$, respectively.

According to Eq. 8, a special instance $\text{Per}(A)$, where $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m} = (1, \dots, 1)^t$ and $N = M$, requires 2^{N-1} terms. In this case the elements of vector $(\mathbf{n} - 2\mathbf{v})^t$ is either +1 or -1, and all binomial coefficients become 1 that

$$\text{Per}(A) = \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} \sum_{v_2=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{v_M=0}^1 (-1)^{N_v} \prod_{k=1}^M [(\mathbf{n} - 2\mathbf{v})^t A]_k, \quad v_1 = 1, \quad (9)$$

which can be expressed equivalently as

$$\text{Per}(A) = \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \{+1, -1\}^M | h_1 = 1} h_1 \cdots h_M \prod_{k=1}^M [\mathbf{h}^t A]_k, \quad (10)$$

where the sum is over all M -dimensional vector $\mathbf{h}$ with entries +1 or -1 while $h_1 = 1$. I just have shown my generalized algorithm in Eq. 7 can be reduced to Glynn's formula^{6,7} in Eq. 10 with the special condition.

As pointed out by Gurvits^{9,10}, Glynn form^{6,7} can be interpreted as an ensemble average over the random vector $\mathbf{h}$ due to the prefactor $2^{-(N-1)}$, which corresponds to the number of terms in the summation in Eq. 10. Likewise, one can interpret Eq. 7 as a randomized algorithm such that v_k is randomly generated among $(0, \dots, n_k)$ with probability $p(v_k) = \binom{n_k}{v_k} / 2^{n_k}$, where $\sum_{v_k=0}^{n_k} \binom{n_k}{v_k} = 2^{n_k}$ is used. Accordingly, Eq. 7 is rewritten as

$$\text{Per}([A]_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{v}=0}^{\mathbf{n}} p(\mathbf{v}) G(\mathbf{v}), \quad (11)$$

where $p(\mathbf{v}) = p(v_1) \cdots p(v_M)$ and $\sum_{\mathbf{v}=0}^{\mathbf{n}} p(\mathbf{v}) = 1$, and $G(\mathbf{v}) = (-1)^{N_v} \prod_{k=1}^M [(\mathbf{n} - 2\mathbf{v})^t A]_k^{m_k}$. For each random instance of $\mathbf{v}$ with the probability $p(\mathbf{v})$, $G(\mathbf{v})$ is evaluated and the matrix permanent is approximated as an average, i.e.

$$\text{Per}([A]_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}}) \simeq \frac{1}{N_{\text{Sample}}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\text{Sample}}} G(\mathbf{v}^{(k)}), \quad (12)$$

where N_{Sample} is the number of samples.

Discussion

In this paper, I present a newly developed generalized algorithm for matrix permanent. The algorithm has been derived via the series expansion of products of variables that the products of boson operators are expressed regarding the collective boson operators. The method can be applicable both to the direct deterministic matrix permanent calculation and to the randomized approximation. I note here that my algorithm can treat multiple repeated rows and columns of the submatrices of arbitrary complex matrices efficiently. The multiplicity can reduce the computational cost that for example, if the $M = 10$ and $N = 10$, then it requires 2^{10} terms and 11 terms in summation, respectively for $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m} = (1, \dots, 1)^t$ and $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m} = (10, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ to compute the corresponding matrix permanents. I also note here that the computational cost mainly depends on the structure of the input integer vector $\mathbf{n}$ according to Eq. 7. As clued in the example, this implies when the entropy of the $\mathbf{n}/N$ increases (towards even distribution over the modes) the computation becomes more difficult. The same argument can be made for the output mode $\mathbf{m}$ due to the symmetry when the input and output modes are swapped via the complex conjugation. Therefore, the computational cost depends on the minimum entropic mode. The entropy of the integer vector is defined here as $S(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_k^M -P_k \ln P_k$, $P_k = n_k/N$. Besides, the error bound of the randomized estimator $G(\mathbf{v})$ could be useful, if available, to answer the open question in quantum computing¹⁰, whether the linear optics can be used for the decision problem.

Methods

Series expansion: Kan¹¹ used the following identity to drive the algorithm for multivariate normal moments,

$$x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_M^{n_M} = \frac{1}{N! 2^N} \sum_{v_1=0}^{n_1} \cdots \sum_{v_M=0}^{n_M} (-1)^{N_v} \binom{n_1}{v_1} \cdots \binom{n_M}{v_M} ((\mathbf{n} - 2\mathbf{v})^t \mathbf{x})^N, \quad (13)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_M)^t$, $N_v = \sum_{k=1}^M v_k$ and $N = \sum_{k=1}^M n_k$.

MATLAB code: MATLAB¹³ code for Eq. 7 is presented here to help readers to check the idea easily. The code can be further optimized to have less number of terms (about a half) in the summation as in Eq. 8 by using the symmetry of the expression. The code has been modified for matrix permanent calculation from the MATLAB code for multivariate normal moments written by Kan¹¹.

```
%OUTPUT: matrix permanent of [A]nm
%INPUT:
%A: M by M matrix
%n: M-dim row integer vector
%an: M-dim row integer vector
function y=PERAnm(A,n,m)
M=length(n);
N=sum(n);
v = zeros(1,M);
Nsum=prod(n+1);
y=0;
c=0;
for i=1:Nsum
    h=n-2*v;
    G=prod((h*A).^m);
    c=1;
    for j=1:M
        c=c*nchoosek(n(j),v(j));
    end
    G=(-1)^(sum(v))*G;
    y=y+c*G;
    for k=1:M
        if v(k)<n(k)
            v(k) = v(k)+1;
            break
        else
            v(k) = 0;
        end
    end
end
end
y=y/2^N;
end
```

References

1. Aaronson, S. & Arkhipov, A. The computational complexity of linear optics. *Proceedings of the 43rd annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing - STOC '11* 333 (2011).
2. Peropadre, B., Guerreschi, G. G., Huh, J. & Aspuru-Guzik, A. Microwave Boson Sampling. *arXiv:1510.08064* (2015).
3. Shen, C., Zhang, Z. & Duan, L. M. Scalable implementation of boson sampling with trapped ions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 1–5 (2014).
4. Aaronson, S. A linear-optical proof that the permanent is #P-hard. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **467**, 3393–3405 (2011).
5. Ryser, H. J. *Combinatorial Mathematics, the Carus mathematical monographs* (The Mathematical Association of America, 2014).
6. Glynn, D. G. The permanent of a square matrix. *European Journal of Combinatorics* **31**, 1887–1891 (2010).
7. Glynn, D. G. Permanent formulae from the Veronesean. *Designs, Codes, and Cryptography* **68**, 39–47 (2013).
8. Jerrum, M., Sinclair, A. & Vigoda, E. *J. ACM* **51**, 617 (2004).
9. Gurvits, L. On the Complexity of Mixed Discriminants and Related Problems. *Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science* 447–458 (2005).

10. Aaronson, S. & Hance, T. Generalizing and Derandomizing Gurvits's Approximation Algorithm for the Permanent. *arXiv:1212.0025v2* (2012).
11. Kan, R. From moments of sum to moments of product. *J. Multivar. Anal.* **99**, 542–554 (2008).
12. Ma, X. & Phodes, W. Multimode squeeze operators and squeezed states. *Phys. Rev. A* **41**, 4625 (1990).
13. MATLAB. *version 8.6.0 (R2015b)* (The MathWorks Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, 2015).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology(NRF-2015R1A6A3A04059773), the ICT R&D program of MSIP/IITP [2015-019, Fundamental Research Toward Secure Quantum Communication] and Mueunjae Institute for Chemistry (MIC) postdoctoral fellowship.

Author contributions statement

J.H. worked on the theory and wrote the paper.

Competing financial interests

The author declares no competing financial interests.